Changes of the ashes of an X-ray burst due to better known nuclear masses*

E. Haettner^{1,2}, D. Ackermann², G. Audi³, K. Blaum⁴, M. Block², S. Eliseev⁴, T. Fleckenstein¹, F. Herfurth², F. P. Heßberger², S. Hofmann², J. Ketelaer⁴, J. Ketter⁴, H.-J. Kluge², G. Marx⁶, M. Mazzocco⁷, Yu. N. Novikov^{2,8}, W. R. Plaβ^{1,2}, S. Rahaman⁹, T. Rauscher¹⁰, D. Rodríguez¹¹, H. Schatz¹², C. Scheidenberger^{1,2}, L. Schweikhard⁶, B. Sun^{1,13}, P. G. Thirolf¹⁴, G. K. Vorobjev^{2,8}, M. Wang¹⁵, and C. Weber⁹

¹Universität Gießen; ²GSI, Darmstadt; ³CSNSM-IN2P3-CNRS, Orsay, France; ⁴MPI-K, Heidelberg; ⁵Universität Mainz; ⁶Universität Greifswald; ⁷University of Padova, Italy; ⁸PNPI, Gatchina, Russia; ⁹University of Jyväskylä, Finland; ¹⁰University of Basel, Switzerland; ¹¹University of Grananda, Spain; ¹²NSCL and JINA, MSU, East Lansing, USA; ¹³Beihang University, Beijing, PR China; ¹⁴LMU, München; ¹⁵Institute of Modern Physics, Lanzhou, PR China

The masses of ten proton-rich nuclides, among them the N=Z+1 nuclides ⁸⁵Mo and ⁸⁷Tc, relevant for nucleosynthesis modelling were measured with the Penning trap mass spectrometer SHIPTRAP [2]. Significant deviations in the mass values and separation energies compared to the values of the Atomic Mass Evaluation 2003 [1] (AME03) were found. The new experimental mass data were implemented in the Atomic Mass Evaluation and adjusted mass values were obtained following the procedure employed in [3]. Moreover, a new local ($80 \le A \le 95$) mass extrapolation based on the adjusted mass values was made using the methods and programs of [3]. Together with the new set of evaluated experimental data and the previously reported AME03 extrapolated mass values for A < 80 and A>95 these data form a complete updated mass data set (AMEup).

To explore the impact of the new masses on the rp process in X-ray bursts reaction network calculations using the model of [4] were carried out. The baseline calculation uses the nuclear masses of the AME03 and calculated Coulomb mass shifts [5] for nuclides beyond N=Z. The results are compared to network calculations based on AMEup, combined with the same Coulomb mass shifts. The resulting final abundances show large differences between AME03 and AMEup in the region of A=86-96. The largest change is found for A=86 where the abundance increases by a factor of 20 (Fig. 1) due to an unexpectedly large decrease in S_p of ⁸⁷Tc. This change by a factor of 20 is by far the largest observed for abundances produced in rp process network calculations since the AME 2003 evaluation. It demonstrates that nuclear physics uncertainties can be larger than estimated and can introduce large uncertainties in nucleosynthesis model calculations.

The new results also open up the possibility for the formation of a ZrNb cycle induced by large ⁸⁴Mo(γ,α) or ⁸³Nb(p, α) reaction rates. For a given S_{α} value of ⁸⁴Mo the cycle will form at a sufficiently high temperature, effectively providing an upper temperature limit for any rpprocess along the proton drip line to produce nuclei beyond



Figure 1: Calculated overproduction factors (produced abundance divided by solar system abundance) after an X-ray burst for the AME03 (dotted line) and the AMEup (solid line) mass sets.

A=84, including the light p-nuclei in the A=92-98 mass region. In order to explore this temperature limit, reaction network calculations were performed using a small test network with an initial ⁸²Zr abundance. For a S_{α} of ⁸⁴Mo lowered by one standard deviation a significant cycling was found beginning at 1.5 GK. However, calculations with the full X-ray burst model, which reaches peak temperatures of 2 GK, show that a cycle does not occur, because at the required high temperatures the reaction sequence already stops at ⁵⁶Ni. Nucleosynthesis proceeds beyond ⁵⁶Ni during burst cooling only when the temperature is lower than required to form a ZrNb cycle. The formation is nevertheless a possibility in an environment where the temperature is rising slowly enough to enable the rp process to proceed past ⁵⁶Ni before reaching high temperatures. Another possibility would be an rp process with seed nuclei beyond ⁵⁶Ni.

References

- [1] G. Audi et al., Nucl. Phys. A 729, 337 (2003).
- [2] E. Haettner et al., GSI Scientific Report 2008 (2009) 134.
- [3] A.H. Wapstra et al., Nucl. Phys. A 729, 129 (2003).
- [4] H. Schatz et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 3471 (2001).
- [5] B.A. Brown et al., Phys. Rev. C 65, 045802 (2002).

^{*}Work supported by the Helmholtz Association and GSI (VH-NG-033), BMBF (06GI185I, 06ML236I, 06GF9103I), Max-Planck Society, Swiss NSF 200020-122287 and NSF grants PHY0822648 (Joint Institute for Nuclear Astrophysics) and PHY0606007.